

Single 3-Brane Brane-World in Six Dimension

D. K. Park and Hungsoo Kim

Department of Physics, Kyungnam University, Masan, 631-701, Korea.

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

The single 3-brane brane world at six dimension is examined when the extra dimensions are not compact. Although the warp factor diverges at the asymptotic region of the extra dimension, the normalizable zero mode and higher KK spectrum exist in the gravitational fluctuation. We compute the zero mode analytically and KK spectrum numerically. It is explicitly proven that our solution does not obey ‘brane world sum rule’.

I. INTRODUCTION

Although it has its own long history [1], the recent much attention to the brane-world scenarios seems to be mainly due to their new approach to the longstanding gauge-hierarchy problem by introducing large extra dimensions [2] or warped extra dimensions [3]. Especially Randall-Sundrum(RS) scenario may also provide a mechanism for the localization of the gravity on the single positive-tension brane [4]. The localization problem of the gravity on the brane is more carefully examined with inclusion of the brane-bending effect [5–7] or from the viewpoint of the singular quantum mechanics [8,9]. Furthermore, the universal aspect of the gravity localization is also discussed [10].

In addition to its good feature on hierarchy and gravity localization problem, RS picture supports a non-static cosmological solution [11–13] which leads to the conventional Friedman equation if one introduces the bulk and brane cosmological constants and imposes a particular fine-tuning condition between them. Recently, the conventional Friedman equation is used to provide an additional constraint in finite temperature version of the RS scenario, which naturally generates the nonvanishing and temperature-dependent $4d$ cosmological constant at effective action level [14]. The gravity localization problem naturally leads to a discussion on the gravitational collapse and it makes a debate on the shape of the black hole horizon along the extra dimension [6,15,16].

The higher-dimensional generalization of RS two brane scenario was considered recently in Ref. [17]. Using a ‘brane-world sum rule’ discussed in Ref. [18] the authors of Ref. [17] have shown that the negative-tension brane in original RS $5d$ picture is not prerequisite at higher-dimensional generalization. Particular attention is paid to the six-dimensional case [17,19,20]. In this case the bulk space-time is reduced to AdS soliton [21,22] and 3-brane embedded in the six-dimensional bulk exhibits a conical singularity. The ‘brane-world sum rule’ [18] which yielded a motivation to the higher-dimensional extension of RS picture generally provides constraints which the solutions of Einstein equation must obey for consistency when the extra dimensions are compact. Thus this rule can not be used

when at least one of the extra dimensions is not compactified.

For example, the sum rule in $5d$ bulk spacetime with flat and compactified extra dimension is

$$\oint W^{\frac{n}{2}} \left[-T_{\mu}^{\mu} + (4 - 2n)T_5^5 \right] = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

where W is warp factor and T_N^M is an energy-momentum tensor. The parameter n is an arbitrary integer. If one applies the sum rule to the RS single brane picture whose line element is

$$ds^2 = e^{-2k|y|} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + dy^2 \quad (1.2)$$

where y is the uncompactified extra dimension ($-\infty < y < \infty$), it is easy to show that the sum rule (1.1) does still hold when $n \neq 0$. However, RHS of Eq.(1.1) is not zero when $n = 0$, which modifies the sum rule (1.1) to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy W^{\frac{n}{2}} \left[-T_{\mu}^{\mu} + (4 - 2n)T_5^5 \right] = \frac{24k}{8\pi G_5} \delta_{n0} \quad (1.3)$$

where G_5 is five-dimensional Newton constant.

In this paper we will consider the six-dimensional brane-world which seems to be a generalization of the RS single brane scenario [4]. Since the extra dimensions are not compact, the solution we will consider here does not obey the ‘brane-world sum rule’. In this case, however, we will show that the fluctuation equation for the linearized gravitational field supports a normalizable zero mode and higher KK states. In this sense the solution we will present in this paper is physically relevant.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we will derive a single 3-brane solution of the $6d$ Einstein equation explicitly imposing two fine-tuning conditions. The warp factor diverges at the asymptotic region of the extra dimension y . In section 3 we will consider the linearized gravitational fluctuation. Especially we will concentrate on the transverse traceless modes to examine the gravity within the single brane. The final equation looks like usual Schrödinger-like equation with a position-dependent mass. It supports a normalizable

zero mode and the higher discrete KK spectrum. First several KK modes are numerically computed in this section. In section 4 we will show explicitly that the solution obtained in this paper does not obey ‘brane-world sum rule’. A brief conclusion is also given in the same section.

II. SOLUTION

In this section, we will consider a particular solution for the six-dimensional brane-world where the 3-brane is located at the origin. Thus, the appropriate Einstein equation we should examine is

$$\tilde{R}_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}G_{MN}\tilde{R} = -\frac{1}{2\alpha}[\Lambda G_{MN} + v_b G_{\mu\nu}\delta_M^\mu\delta_N^\nu\delta(\vec{y})] \quad (2.1)$$

where Λ and v_b are bulk cosmological constant and brane tension. The constant α is given by $\alpha = 1/(16\pi G_6)$ where G_6 is the 6d Newton constant. Here, $\vec{y}$ is a general radial vector in the curved extra dimensions. In fact, the Einstein equation (2.1) can be derived from Einstein-Hilbert action

$$\tilde{S} = \int d^4x \int d^2y \sqrt{-G} [-\Lambda + \alpha\tilde{R} - v_b\delta(\vec{y})]. \quad (2.2)$$

In order to solve Eq.(2.1) at six dimension we introduce a radial coordinate y and polar angle θ to describe the extra dimensions. We will assume that y is infinite ($-\infty < y < \infty$) or semi-infinite ($0 \leq y < \infty$) and θ is bounded as $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. Now we introduce an ansatz

$$ds^2 = W(y)\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + f(y)dy^2 + U^2(y)d\theta^2 \quad (2.3)$$

with boundary conditions

$$W(y) = 1 \quad U(0) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

The first equation in Eq.(2.4) comes from the analogy with original 5d RS picture and the second one is imposed to introduce a conical singularity at the location of 3-brane. Of

course, one can define U in Eq.(2.3) to be dependent on y and θ in general. However, it is shown that Einstein equation (2.1) does not allow the θ -dependence of U .

Computing the nonvanishing Ricci tensor and the curvature scalar for the line element (2.3) is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{1}{2}f^{-1}W \left[W^{-1}W'' - \frac{1}{2}(f^{-1}f') (W^{-1}W') + (W^{-1}W')^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (U^{-1}U') (W^{-1}W') \right] \eta_{\mu\nu} \\ \tilde{R}_{yy} &= -2W^{-1}W'' + (W^{-1}W')^2 - U^{-1}U'' + (f^{-1}f') (W^{-1}W') + \frac{1}{2}(f^{-1}f') (U^{-1}U') \\ \tilde{R}_{\theta\theta} &= -f^{-1}U^2 \left[U^{-1}U'' + 2(U^{-1}U') (W^{-1}W') - \frac{1}{2}(f^{-1}f') (U^{-1}U') \right] \\ \tilde{R} &= -f^{-1} \left[4W^{-1}W'' + (W^{-1}W')^2 - 2(f^{-1}f') (W^{-1}W') + 2U^{-1}U'' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4(U^{-1}U') (W^{-1}W') - (f^{-1}f') (U^{-1}U') \right]\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

where the prime denotes the differentiation with respect to y . Inserting (2.5) into Eq.(2.1) one can show that Einstein equation (2.1) is transformed into the following three independent equations

$$\begin{aligned}f^{-1} \left[2W^{-1}W'' + \frac{1}{2}(W^{-1}W')^2 - (f^{-1}f') (W^{-1}W') \right] &= -\frac{\Lambda}{2\alpha} \\ f^{-1}W^{-1}W' \left[\frac{3}{2}W^{-1}W' + 2U^{-1}U' \right] &= -\frac{\Lambda}{2\alpha} \\ \frac{1}{2}f^{-1} \left[3W^{-1}W'' + 2U^{-1}U'' + 3(U^{-1}U') (W^{-1}W') - \frac{3}{2}(f^{-1}f') (W^{-1}W') \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (f^{-1}f') (U^{-1}U') \right] = -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \left[\Lambda + \frac{v_b}{2\pi U \sqrt{f}} \delta(y) \right].\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

When deriving Eq.(2.6) we have used the two-dimensional δ -function property

$$\delta(\vec{y}) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{G_{yy}G_{\theta\theta}}} \delta(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi U \sqrt{f}} \delta(y).\tag{2.7}$$

The first equation in Eq.(2.6) can be written as a simple form

$$A' + \frac{5}{4}A^2 = \frac{1}{2}f^{-1}f'A - \frac{\Lambda}{4\alpha}f\tag{2.8}$$

where $A = W^{-1}W'$. This equation is easily solved if $f = \beta = \text{const}$;

$$A = k \tanh \frac{5k}{4} y \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$k \equiv \sqrt{\frac{-\beta\Lambda}{5\alpha}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Of course one can choose $\beta = 1$ by rescaling the coordinates without loss of generality. In this paper, however, we will keep on computation with arbitrary β .

Before proceeding further it is interesting to compare Eq.(2.9) with the corresponding equation in RS2 scenario. In RS2 scenario the warp factor is $W_5 = e^{-2k|y|}$ which yields $A_5 = W_5^{-1} W_5' = -2k\epsilon(y)$, where $\epsilon(y)$ is usual alternating function. Thus, the discontinuity in A_5 is smoothened at six dimension.

From Eq.(2.9) it is easy to derive the warp factor

$$W = \cosh^{\frac{4}{5}} \frac{5k}{4} y. \quad (2.11)$$

Thus, our solution (2.11) is consistent with boundary condition(2.4). Unlike 5d RS case, however, the warp factor W diverges at asymptotic region $y \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

Inserting the solution (2.11) into the second equation of Eq.(2.6) it is straightforward to compute $U(y)$

$$U(y) = U(y_0) \frac{\sinh \frac{5k}{4} |y|}{\cosh^{\frac{3}{5}} \frac{5k}{4} y} \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$y_0 = \pm \frac{0.89868}{k}, \quad (2.13)$$

and the third equation of Eq.(2.6) yields the following fine-tuning conditions

$$\Lambda = -\frac{5\alpha}{\beta} k^2 \quad v_b = -\frac{10\pi\alpha}{\sqrt{\beta}} U(y_0) k. \quad (2.14)$$

Unlike 5d RS case we get the negative tension brane with a negative bulk cosmological constant. Comparision of our result with Eq.(2.7) of Ref. [20] shows that our solution is

just AdS soliton represented by the horospheric coordinates. Unlike Ref. [20], however, our extra dimensions are not compact. As will be shown in next section this difference makes the boundary condition in the linearized gravitational fluctuation to be different from that of Ref. [20], and hence yields a different KK spectrum.

III. LINEARIZED GRAVITATIONAL FLUCTUATION

In this section we consider the linearized gravitational fluctuation for our solution. Introducing a small fluctuation tensor $h_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$ defined by

$$ds^2 = [W(y)\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}(x, y)]dx^\mu dx^\nu + f(y)dy^2 + U^2(y)d\theta^2 \quad (3.1)$$

with $|h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$ and inserting Eq.(3.1) into the 5d Einstein equation (2.1) one can derive the following fluctuation equation from the (μ, ν) component of Eq.(2.1) :

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \left(\coth z - \frac{3}{5} \tanh z \right) \frac{d}{dz} - \frac{16}{25} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{\cosh^2 z} \right) + \lambda \cosh^{-\frac{4}{5}} z \right] \Psi = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$z = \frac{5k}{4}y, \quad \lambda = \frac{16m^2\beta}{25k^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

When deriving Eq.(3.2) we have chosen the RS gauge

$$\partial^\mu h_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad h^\mu{}_\mu = 0, \quad h_{\mu 5} = h_{55} = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

and used a relation

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x, y) = \Psi(y) e^{ipx} \quad (3.5)$$

and $\mu\nu$ indices are suppressed because all components have same fluctuation equation. In Eq.(3.3) m^2 is defined as $m^2 = -p^2$. The gauge (3.4) indicates that our fluctuation is a transverse traceless modes polarized in direction parallel to the Lorentz invariant hypersurface. It is important to note that unlike 5d RS case there is no δ -function potential term in the fluctuation equation. This is because of the exact cancellation of δ -function in the

Einstein equation although the Ricci tensor components $\tilde{R}_{yy}$ and $\tilde{R}_{\theta\theta}$, and the curvature scalar $\tilde{R}$ have their own δ -functions.

Before solving the fluctuation (3.2) let us discuss the boundary conditions Ψ must obey on the brane. Note that Eq.(3.2) involves a term $\coth z d\Psi/dz$ which goes to infinity at the brane. In order to compensate this infinity, we need to impose a condition

$$\frac{d^2\Psi}{dz^2} + \coth z \frac{d\Psi}{dz} = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

at the brane which yields $\Psi \sim \ln z$ at $z \rightarrow 0$ limit. Another essential boundary condition for our case is $\Psi \sim 0$ at $z \rightarrow \infty$ limit due to the normalization. If the extra dimensions are compact, this condition is not required. This is the reason why our spectrum obtained below is different from that of Ref. [20].

In order to remove the first derivative term in Eq.(3.2) we redefine $\tilde{\Psi}(z)$ as follows :

$$\Psi(z) \equiv \frac{\cosh^{\frac{3}{10}} z}{\sinh^{\frac{1}{2}} z} \tilde{\Psi}(z). \quad (3.7)$$

Then the fluctuation equation (3.2) is changed into more simple form

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \tilde{\Psi} + V_1(z) \tilde{\Psi} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \cosh^{-\frac{4}{5}} z \tilde{\Psi}(z) \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$V_1(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sinh^2 2z} \right]. \quad (3.9)$$

Eq.(3.8) is not exact eigenvalue equation due to $\cosh^{-\frac{4}{5}} z$ in RHS. However, Eq.(3.8) can be regarded as a generalized eigenvalue equation with a different weighting factor. The potential $V_1(z)$ becomes $-\infty$ at $z = 0$ and $1/2$ at $z = \pm\infty$. Thus the global form of the potential looks very similar to the volcano potential in 5d RS case although there is no δ -function potential.

Eq.(3.8) can be interpreted from a different viewpoint as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} \tilde{\Psi} &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \tilde{\Psi} \\ \hat{H} &= -\frac{1}{2M(z)} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} + V(z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$M(z) = \cosh^{-\frac{4}{5}} z \quad (3.11)$$

$$V(z) = \cosh^{\frac{4}{5}} z V_1(z).$$

Thus, our linearized gravitational fluctuation equation can be viewed as a usual Schrödinger equation with a position-dependent mass. The potential $V(z)$ enables us to conjecture that there is no continuum in the spectrum. It is easy to show that $\sqrt{2 \sinh z \cosh z}$ satisfies Eq.(3.10) if $\lambda = 0$. However, it makes $\Psi(z) \sim \cosh^{\frac{4}{5}} z$ which is not normalizable. Thus the normalizable zero mode is

$$\tilde{\Psi}_0(z) \sim \sqrt{2 \sinh z \cosh z} \ln \tanh z \quad (3.12)$$

which makes $\Psi_0(z)$ to be

$$\Psi_0(z) = \mathcal{N}_0 \cosh^{\frac{4}{5}} z \ln \tanh z. \quad (3.13)$$

It is easy to show that our zero mode (3.13) satisfies the boundary conditions discussed above. The normalization constant $\mathcal{N}_0$ can be determined from the numerical result of the integral

$$\int_0^\infty dx \cosh^{\frac{8}{5}} x [\ln \tanh x]^2 = C = 2.236176. \quad (3.14)$$

If, therefore, we confine ourselves to the semi-infinite extra dimension case ($0 \leq y < \infty$), $\mathcal{N}_0$ is reduced to

$$\mathcal{N}_0 = \frac{\sqrt{5kC}}{2}. \quad (3.15)$$

Now, let us consider non-zero modes of Eq.(3.10). It seems to be impossible to solve the non-zero modes analytically. However, we can solve Eq.(3.10) in the asymptotic region ($z \rightarrow \infty$);

$$\tilde{\Psi}_\infty \sim J_{\frac{5}{2}} \left(\frac{5}{2} \sqrt{\lambda} e^{-\frac{2}{5}z} \right). \quad (3.16)$$

Since the argument of the Bessel function in Eq.(3.16) goes to zero as $z \rightarrow \infty$, we can conclude $\tilde{\Psi}(z) \sim e^{-z}$ at $z \rightarrow \infty$, and hence $\Psi(z) \sim e^{-\frac{6}{5}z}$ in the asymptotic region. At small z Eq.(3.12) yields

$$\tilde{\Psi} \sim \sqrt{z} \left[A J_0(\sqrt{\lambda - 1}z) + B Y_0(\sqrt{\lambda - 1}z) \right]. \quad (3.17)$$

Thus the expansion of the Bessel functions in Eq.(3.17) indicates that $\tilde{\Psi}(z)$ behaves at small z region like $\tilde{\Psi}(z) \sim \sqrt{z} \ln z$, and hence $\Psi(z) \sim \ln z$ in this region. It is important to note that the behavior of $\tilde{\Psi}(z)$ (or $\Psi(z)$) at small and large z regions is independent of the eigenvalue λ .

Making use of the shooting method one can compute the KK spectrum numerically. The first few eigenvalues are summarized in Table 1 and figure 1.

eigenfunction	eigenvalue
$\tilde{\Psi}_1$	5.12
$\tilde{\Psi}_2$	11.37
$\tilde{\Psi}_3$	19.61

Same linearized gravitational fluctuation was considered in Ref. [20] but the spectrum is much smaller than ours. That is because Ref. [20] considers the compactified extra dimensions and the normalization problem is not important. Thus, the authors in Ref. [20] chose a different boundary condition described in Eq.(24)¹ of Ref. [20]. As expected Fig. 1 shows that the eigenfunctions exhibit the same behavior at small and large z regions. Of course, one can plot $\Psi(z)$ using Eq.(3.7) all of which diverge logarithmically at $z = 0$. But this divergence does not affect the square integrability of the wave-functions as we have seen at the zero mode case.

In addition to the transverse traceless mode there are vector and scalar modes in $6d$ gravitational fluctuation. For the $6d$ two-brane picture all of these modes are examined

¹The parameter ρ seems to be omitted in their equation.

in Ref. [20]. Especially, the scalar mode indicates the tachyonic instability of the radion [23] and two-dimensional δ -function appears in the vector mode fluctuation. Since two-dimensional δ -function potential has a various non-trivial properties such as scale anomaly and dimensional transmutation [24,25], we would like to examine the remaining modes in the future.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have considered a single 3-brane brane-world in six dimension when the extra dimensions are not compact. The warp factor obtained from the 6d Einstein equation is divergent at the asymptotic region of the extra dimension y .

Linearized gravitational fluctuation for the solution discussed in the paper is also examined. The final fluctuation equation looks like the usual Schrödinger equation when the mass is dependent on the position. The normalizable zero mode is obtained analytically. Also several discrete KK states are computed numerically using asymptotic behavior of the linearized fluctuation and the numerical shooting method.

Since the extra dimensions are not compact in our case, our solution does not obey ‘brane-world sum rule’ like 5d RS2 picture as shown in section 1. To show this explicitly, let us consider the constraint derived from the sum rule at six dimension

$$\oint W^{\frac{n}{2}} \left[\frac{2}{3}(4-n)T_\mu^\mu + (2n-4)T_m^m + \frac{1}{6\pi G_6} \left\{ (4-n)\hat{R} + (n-1)\bar{R}W^{-1} \right\} \right] = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

where W is warp factor and T_N^M is an energy-momentum tensor. $\hat{R}$ and $\bar{R}$ are curvature scalar computed from the extra dimensions and the brane world-volume coordinates. For our case the quantities we need to compute Eq.(4.1) are

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \cosh^{\frac{4}{5}} \frac{5k}{4} y & \bar{R} &= 0 & T_m^m &= -2\Lambda \\ T_\mu^\mu &= -4 \left(\Lambda + \frac{v_b}{2\pi\sqrt{\beta}U(0)} \delta(y) \right) \\ \hat{R} &= -\frac{2}{\beta} \left[\left(\frac{k^2}{4} - \frac{3k^2}{2} \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \frac{5k}{4} y} \right) + \frac{5k}{2} \frac{U(y_0)}{U(0)} \delta(y) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Using these quantities and the fine-tuning condition (2.14), the quantity in the bracket of Eq.(4.1) is simply reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{3}(4-n)T_\mu^\mu + (2n-4)T_m^m + \frac{1}{6\pi G_6} \left\{ (4-n)\hat{R} + (n-1)\bar{R}W^{-1} \right\} \\ &= \frac{8\alpha k^2}{\beta} \left[(1+n) + \frac{4-n}{\cosh^2 \frac{5k}{4}y} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Note that δ -functions terms in T_μ^μ and $\hat{R}$ are exactly cancelled in Eq.(4.3) via fine-tuning condition.

In order to show that our case does not obey the ‘brane-world sum rule’ explicitly, let us define

$$J \equiv \int_{-L}^L dy W^{\frac{n}{2}} \left[\frac{2}{3}(4-n)T_\mu^\mu + (2n-4)T_m^m + \frac{1}{6\pi G_6} \left\{ (4-n)\hat{R} + (n-1)\bar{R}W^{-1} \right\} \right] \quad (4.4)$$

where the cutoff L is introduced. Using Eq.(4.3) J is simply reduced to

$$J = \frac{16\alpha k^2}{\beta} [(1+n)J_1(n, L) + (4-n)J_2(n, L)] \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(n, L) &= \int_0^L dy \cosh^{\frac{2n}{5}} \frac{5k}{4}y \\ J_2(n, L) &= \int_0^L dy \cosh^{\frac{2n}{5}-2} \frac{5k}{4}y. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Firstly, let us consider $0 \leq n < 5$ case. In this case J_1 diverges and J_2 converges at $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Thus it is impossible to get $J = 0$. When $5 < n$, one can show easily

$$J_1(n, L) = \frac{2}{nk} \sinh \frac{5k}{4}L \cosh^{\frac{2n}{5}-1} \frac{5k}{4}L + \frac{2n-5}{2n} J_2(n, L) \quad (4.7)$$

which results in

$$J = \frac{16\alpha k^2}{\beta} \left[\frac{2(1+n)}{nk} \sinh \frac{5k}{4}L \cosh^{\frac{2n}{5}-1} \frac{5k}{4}L + \frac{5(n-1)}{2n} J_2(n, L) \right]. \quad (4.8)$$

In $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit, J goes to infinity again. For negative n , one can compute J_1 and J_2 explicitly in terms of gamma function when $L = \infty$. In this case the final form of J is

$$J = \frac{32\sqrt{\pi}\alpha k}{\beta} \frac{(1-n)\Gamma\left(-\frac{n}{5}\right)}{(5-2n)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{5}\right)} \neq 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Thus, our solution does not satisfy the constraint $J = 0$ for any n .

The *AdS* soliton is derived from supergravity, the low energy limit of the superstring theory. In this sense the brane world scenario is somehow related to the string theory. The credibility of this conjecture is strengthened if one realizes the similarity of the 5d RS picture to the Horava-Witten scenario [26]. However, the connection between them is obscure, at least for us. Our future research on brane world scenario might be concentrated to shed light on the physical implication of this connection.

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2002-015-CP0063).

REFERENCES

- [1] V. A. Rubakov and M.E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. **B125** (1983) 139.
- [2] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. **B429** (1998) 263 [hep-ph/9803315]; Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 086004 [hep-ph/9807344].
- [3] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3370 [hep-ph/9905221].
- [4] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4690 [hep-th/9906064].
- [5] J. Garriga and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** (2000) 2778 [hep-th/9911055].
- [6] S. B. Giddings, E. Katz and L. Randall, JHEP **0003** (2000) 023 [hep-th/0002091].
- [7] M. J. Duff and J. T. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** (2000) 2052 [hep-th/0003237].
- [8] D. K. Park and S. Tamaryan, Phys. Lett. **B532** (2002) 305 [hep-th/0108068].
- [9] D. K. Park and H. S. Kim, to appear in Nucl. Phys. B [hep-th/0204122].
- [10] C. Csáki, J. Erlich, T. J. Hollowood and Y. Shirman, Nucl. Phys. **B581** (2000) 309 [hep-th/0001033].
- [11] P. Binétruy, C. Deffayet and D. Langlois, Nucl. Phys. **B565** (2000) 269 [hep-th/9905012].
- [12] Csáki, M. Graesser, C. Kolda and J. Terning, Phys. Lett. **B462** (1999) 34 [hep-ph/9906513].
- [13] J. M. Cline, C. Grojean and G. Servant, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4245 [hep-ph/9906523].
- [14] D. K. Park, H. S. Kim and S. Tamaryan, Phys. Lett. **B535** (2002) 5 [hep-th/0111081].
- [15] A. Chamblin, S. W. Hawking and H. S. Reall, Phys. Rev. **D61** (2000) 065007 [hep-th/9909205].

- [16] R. Emparan, G. T. Horowitz and R. C. Myers, JHEP **0001** (2000) 007 [hep-th/9911043].
- [17] F. Leblond, R. C. Myers and D. J. Winters, JHEP **0107** (2001) 031 [hep-th/0106140].
- [18] G. Gibbons, R. Kallosh and A. Linde, JHEP **0101** (2001) 022 [hep-th/0011225].
- [19] F. Leblond, R. C. Myers and D. J. Winters, [hep-th/0107034].
- [20] C. P. Burgess, J. M. Cline, N. R. Constable and H. Firouzjahi, JHEP **0201** (2002) 014 [hep-th/0112047].
- [21] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1997) 231 [hep-th/9711200].
- [22] G. T. Horowitz and R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 026005 [hep-th/9808079].
- [23] W. D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4922 [hep-ph/9907447].
- [24] D. K. Park, Green's-function approach to two- and three-dimensional delta-function potential and application to the spin-1/2 Aharonov-Bohm problem, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 5453 [hep-th/9405020].
- [25] R. Jackiw, in *M. A. Bég Memorial Volume*, edited by A. Ali and P. Hoodbhoy (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
- [26] P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 506 [hep-th/9510209].

FIGURES

FIG. 1. First four eigenfunctions for $\hat{H}$ in (3.10). As shown in Eq. (3.16) and (3.17) all functions exhibit the same behavior at small and large z regions.

